COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT

September 1, 2013 - August 31, 2016

NORTHSHORE EDUCATION ASSOCIATION AND

NORTHSHORE SCHOOL DISTRICT #417





ARTICI F 47 - REPEAVEN	MENT LEAVE	78
ARTICLE 48 – TEMPORARY DISABILITY LEAVE		
ARTICLE 49 – INSTRUCTIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL IMPROVEMENT		79
ARTICLE 50 – GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES		
ARTICLE 51 - SALARY RE	EOPENER	81
ARTICLE 52 - SALARIES AND COMPLIANCE		81
	CHOOL PROVISIONS	
ARTICLE 54 - INSURANC	E	85
ARTICLE 55 - SUPPLEME	NTAL CONTRACTS AND EXTENDED DAYS	88
ARTICLE 56 - PAYDAY		89
ARTICLE 57 - RELEASE F	ROM CONTRACT	89
	OF CONTRACT	
	MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING	
AGREEMENT ON WEBSIT	ES AND ONLINE GRADES	91
	ERS OF ADVANCED PLACEMENT	
	EACHER EVALUATION/PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	
AND SUPPORT		93
	NTARY LITERACY IRRS	
AGREEMENT ON VEBA		95
	CT SAFETY COMMITTEE AND INDIVIDUAL SITE HEALTH	0.22
AND SAFETY COMMITT	EES	96
	CHOOL LIBRARY STAFFING MODEL	
	VEL SPECIAL EDUCATION	
	NTARY LIBRARIAN STAFFING MODEL	
AGREEMENT ON SPECIA	L EDUCATION FUNDS FOR MATERIALS	100
AGREEMENT ON SECON	DARY SELF-SELECT MODEL	101
	NTARY REPORT CARD COMMITTEE	102
	D DECISION MAKING LEADERSHIP TEAM (SDLT)	400
CLASSIFIED REPRESEI	NTATIONIATIONAL BACCALAUREATE RELEASE DAYS	103
	TION OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	
	B DESCRIPTION AND SELECTION PROCESS	
AGREEMENT ON TRI JO	B DESCRIPTION AND SELECTION PROCESS	107
ADDE	IDA TO THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT	
STANDING COMMITTEES	i	
ADDENDUM A-1	CURRICULUM MATERIALS ADOPTION COMMITTEE	110
ADDENDUM A-2	TECHNOLOGY LEADERSHIP COMMITTEE	
ADDENDOM A-2	TEGINOLOGI LEADENGIII GOMMITTEE	110
EVALUATIVE CRITERIA		
ADDENDUM S. 4	TRADITIONAL CHAMATIVE STATUTED CONTESTS AND	
ADDENDUM B-1	TRADITIONAL SUMMATIVE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND	
	TRADITIONAL SUMMATIVE AND PGO PROCEDURES	116
	SUMMATIVE EVALUATION SYSTEM/PROFESSIONAL	117
	GROWTH OPTION (PGO)	11/

Memorandum of Understanding Between Northshore School District No. 417 And Northshore Education Association

Secondary Self-Select Model

The parties agree to implement (within current funding and staffing constraints) and continue to refine a plan for addressing potential inequities in the self-select model of student enrollment in AP, IB, College in the High School and Challenge classes. This model may result in other core academic classes having a higher concentration of high needs students (e.g., Special Ed, ELL, 504 or other students identified with challenging needs). Schools shall schedule students in a manner that equitably distributes such students in core academic classes within the department. When there is a concentration of high needs students in a single core academic class, administrators, counselors and teachers (department heads, LC teachers, impacted teachers) shall discuss and provide one of the following supports:

- A lower overall class size that reflects the number and nature of the high needs students;
- Co-teaching model (content area and Special Ed or other certificated teachers co-teach core academic class), agreed to by both teachers;
- In-class support model with certificated Special Education teacher (Special Education teacher works within core academic classroom(s) to provide additional support for struggling students within classroom(s)); and
- In-class support model with classified Paraeducator (Paraeducator will support students in core content area classes).

In addition, the plan shall include related professional development for teachers and paraeducators.

If a teacher in a core academic class finds the particular mix of high needs students in his or her class inequitable within the department, based on the absence of one of the supports above satisfactory to the teacher or based on the unique needs of students which are not apparent in the qualification of those students for categorical programs, the teacher shall appeal to the building administrator for allocation of additional resources. The parties shall meet to discuss the scheduling issues and class mix. If the matter is not resolved, the teacher and building principal shall meet with the NSEA President and the Assistant Superintendent of Secondary Education to problem-solve a solution. Staffing contingency funds are one available resource for solutions to these issues.

The Deputy Superintendent and Assistant Superintendents shall provide data on the implementation of this plan, including feedback from the impacted teachers and student performance data, in October and January. The data will be discussed at Labor Management Council.