

# **POLICY NOTE**

#### **Key Findings**

- Spokane Transit Authority's (STA) Proposition 1 would increase the sales tax in many parts of Spokane County to 8.9% by 2019
- If approved and once fullyimplemented, STA would become a \$100 million government agency
- 3. The most controversial piece of Proposition 1 remains STA's \$72 million 'Central City Line' (CCL), formerly called the 'modern electric trolley'
- 4. The 'CCL' does not have the essential features that would make it a Bus Rapid Transit system
- 5. The cost to carry a passenger on an STA bus remains one of the lowest of urban transit agencies in the state, at roughly \$4.00 per trip, but administrative costs grew 85% between 2004 and 2013
- Improvements to public transit services in Spokane are possible without a \$221 million tax increase

## Citizens' Guide to Spokane Transit Authority's Proposition One

To increase the local sales tax rate to nearly 9.0% to expand transit services and build a once-rejected \$72 million Central City Line

**By Chris Cargill**, Eastern Washington Director, **Mariya Frost**, Transportation Policy Analyst and **Margaret Douglas**, Eastern Washington Research Assistant

October 2016

This November, people living in the Spokane Transit Authority (STA) service area – which includes the most populated parts of Spokane County – will be asked to vote again on a ballot proposal that would raise sales taxes by \$221 million over 11 years to fund expansion of public transit services.

Approval of Proposition One would raise the sales tax rate for many to just under nine percent to fund 25 projects, including a new West Plains Transit Center, expanded bus service in rural areas and extended weekend hours, and the "Central City Line" – a project voters have previously rejected. In the past, transit officials and city leaders referred to the project as a downtown electric trolley. They now insist the \$72 million system is Bus Rapid Transit.

If the proposal is implemented, Spokane Transit Authority would become a \$100 million per-year agency.

#### **Key Facts on Spokane Transit Authority (STA)**

STA provides fixed route bus, demand response, and a vanpool program to residents throughout its 248 square mile service area. Overall, it is one of the state's best managed public transit agencies.

The cost to carry a passenger on an STA bus remains one of the lowest of urban transit agencies in the state, at roughly \$4.00 per trip. Between 2004 and 2013, STA's bus service saw ridership growth of 43 percent, yet STA officials only expanded bus service by seven percent.

Typical of most transit agencies, STA's paratransit program is its most costly service, while its vanpool program continues to be its most efficient. Transit officials have devoted the largest spending growth to administration, with administrative costs growing by 85 percent –between 2004 and 2013 – to \$11 million per year.

### STA's use of taxpayer and rider resources

Currently, Spokane Transit Authority spends \$65.6 million per year on operations. In 2016, STA expects to receive \$50.3 million in revenue from the local sales tax rate of 0.6 percent and a further \$10.5 million from rider fares and other transit revenues.

If Proposition One is approved, another \$220 million over the next 11 years would be directed to the transit agency. The ballot plan calls for a 0.1 percent sales tax rate increase in 2017, followed by another 0.1 percent rate increase in 2019.



About the Authors

By Chris Cargill, Eastern Washington Director, with assistance from Mariya Frost, Coles Center for Transportation Policy Analyst and Margaret Douglas, Eastern Washington Research Assistant

Chris Cargill is the Eastern Washington Director at Washington Policy Center. A sixth-generation Eastern Washingtonian, Chris is a graduate of Gonzaga University with a bachelor's degree in broadcast communication studies and political science. Chris has authored many of WPC's studies specific to Eastern Washington. He is an ex-officio for the Spokane Valley Chamber of Commerce and the Tri-City Regional Chamber of Commerce, and formerly served on the Spokane Regional Transportation Commission Advisory Committee and Spokane Transit Authority's Central City Alternatives Analysis Sounding Board. He was also selected to serve on the budget and finance transition team for Spokane Mayor David Condon and currently serves on the Mayor's Advisory Council on Small Business. In 2011, Chris was named by *Inland Business* Catalyst magazine as one of the 20 top professional and civic leaders under the age of 40 in the Inland Northwest.

Washington Policy Center is an independent research organization in Washington state. Nothing here should be construed as an attempt to aid or hinder the passage of any legislation before any legislative body.

Published by Washington Policy Center © 2016

washington policy.org 206-937-9691

If approved, the tax increase would bring STA officials roughly \$20 million a year in additional sales tax revenues and would bring the agency more than \$100 million a year when sales tax, fares and other revenues are combined.

#### **The proposed Central City Line**

The largest spending project in the ballot proposal is STA's "Central City Line" (CCL). The CCL is a six-mile long fixed route project stretching from Browne's Addition, through the downtown Spokane core, east to the University district and ending at Spokane Community College. The cost of the proposed system is \$72 million – or \$12 million per mile. It would also incur at least \$4.1 million in annual operating costs.

This is the proposal STA officials and city leaders once described as the "electric trolley," the centerpiece of their desired high-performance transit network. They now insist the mode is Bus Rapid Transit.

Research shows, however, that the Central City Line does not meet Bus Rapid Transit definitions, which typically have five essential features: (1) Dedicated right of way, (2) Busway alignment, (3) Off-board fare collection, (4) Intersection treatments (signal priority – lights turn green as buses approach) and (5) platform-level boarding.

The Institute for Transportation and Development Policy says "to be considered BRT, there must be a minimum of 1.9 miles that has dedicated bus lanes." Plans indicate Spokane's Central City Line will not have dedicated lanes. In fact, STA's own original Central City alternatives analysis eliminated BRT from consideration due to the typical need for busway alignment and dedicated lanes.

Originally, the Central City Line was not supposed to have traffic signal priority. STA officials now say they "assume" it will, but only at some major traffic intersections.

Bus Rapid Transit systems are also typically powered by hybrid or diesel buses and cost about three to five to seven million dollars per mile to construct, while STA's system is proposed at \$12 million per mile.

#### Conclusion

Any transit agency is obligated to move people from point A to point B in the most efficient and safest way possible and indications are STA carries out this mission successfully within its current budget.

A number of bus service projects proposed in Proposition One also fit that goal, including a new West Plains Transit Center and expanded night and weekend service to many areas. These potential benefits are off-set by the high construction and potentially damaging operating costs of the controversial Central City Line, which does not meet the definition of a Bus Rapid Transit system.

Furthermore, improvements in public transit services in Spokane are possible without a tax increase by implementing an improved budget-management approach within existing tax revenue. Such an approach would avoid the economic dislocation and job-disruptive effects of imposing a \$221 million sales tax increase.

This is a summary of a full study on Spokane Transit Authority's Proposition One, available at washingtonpolicy.org